



Pre-packaged administrations

PPF RESTRUCTURING & INSOLVENCY TEAM –
GUIDANCE NOTE 3

December 2018

Contents

Section 1	
Background	3
Section 2	
Issue	4
Section 3	
PPF practice	5
Section 4	
Restructuring & Insolvency Team contact details	6

Background

- 1.1** This guidance sets out the Pension Protection Fund's (PPF) approach to pre-packaged administrations (pre-packs) where the same Insolvency Practitioner(s) (IP) intends to dissolve the company or continue as the office holder in the subsequent liquidation or Company Voluntary Arrangement (CVA).
- 1.2** Pre-packs are used to effect the immediate transfer of a company's business and assets to new ownership free of the liabilities of the old company. This may be for good commercial reasons and could be the right approach in certain circumstances.
- 1.3** However, it is often the case that the new company is controlled by, or has strong links to, the owners/management/investors of the old company that built up the liabilities (a 'phoenix' situation).
- 1.4** Accordingly, there is the possibility that the process can be used to 'dump' the company's liabilities, including the pension scheme.
- 1.5** To an extent, the insolvency profession has recognised and gone some way to address this problem through the Statement of Insolvency Practice 16 (SIP16).
- 1.6** SIP16 requires the IP to provide information on the transaction and to justify why an immediate sale, rather than a sale with a proper post-administration marketing period, was required. It also requires reporting on consultation with the major creditors, which are most likely to include the pension scheme where one exists.
- 1.7** Further scrutiny may also be provided through a review by the 'Pre-Pack Pool', although this is not a mandatory process.
-

Issue

- 2.1** The PPF is concerned that meaningful consultation with the pension trustees / PPF is not taking place at an early stage and that transactions are presented as a 'given'.
- 2.2** Scrutiny by the 'Pre-pack Pool' is not mandatory and they will have very little time to fully consider and challenge the issues.
- 2.3** The administrator is appointed by the directors/qualifying floating charge holder with the unsecured creditors having no say.
- 2.4** Accordingly the checks on the administrator are in reality limited. There is the risk of there being no effective scrutiny of the administrator's actions and possibly those of the directors.
- 2.5** In the case of an administrative receivership this would not be the situation as the receiver could not become the liquidator. The liquidator would review the actions and conduct of the receiver and the directors.
- 2.6** If the administrator does not fulfil the role of a subsequent liquidator or CVA supervisor, the new IP will be able to independently review the background to the pre-pack administration and the actions of the directors and administrators.
- 2.7** The advantages of the PPF approach are:
- greater transparency to the process
 - encourage early and effective consultation with the trustees and PPF
 - help to control fees & costs, and
 - act to enhance returns to unsecured creditors.
- 2.8** We are familiar with the argument that there is increased cost in administrators handing over a case to a liquidator or a supervisor from a different firm. We consider that such cost, to the extent that it exists, is justified by the independent scrutiny of the pre-pack process.
-

PPF practice

3.1 When presented with administrators' proposals following a pre-pack, the PPF will:

- consider the extent to which the trustees/PPF have been consulted prior to the administrators' appointments
- assess whether the consultation has been effective and the views of the trustees/PPF have been properly taken into account, including consideration of the costs of the process
- resolve to appoint an alternative IP to act as CVA supervisor or liquidator of the company (in the short term if necessary) as the exit route where there has been no or ineffective consultation and there remain concerns over the process, providing the opportunity to examine the conduct of the directors and administrators
- consider the need for a compulsory winding up order to be made in situations where the company will be dissolved immediately after administration, to allow scrutiny by a liquidator.

3.2 The factors that the PPF will consider in reaching its decision include (but are not limited to):

- the level of consultation with the pension scheme trustees/PPF prior to the pre-pack being undertaken
- the nature of the underlying business and the risks to it from an insolvency marketing period
- the underlying causes of the insolvency (including the prior conduct of the scheme and of the company/directors) and the rationale for the pre-pack
- any interaction with The Pensions Regulator
- the method used and timescale adopted to market the business and the outcome achieved
- the ongoing involvement of the original shareholders' management in the business post-administration.

Restructuring & Insolvency Team contact details

Malcolm Weir

Director of Restructuring & Insolvency
malcolm.weir@ppf.gsi.gov.uk
020 8633 4940

Mark Allen

Case Manager
mark.allen@ppf.gsi.gov.uk
020 8633 5823

Mark Stonestreet

Case Advisor
mark.stonestreet@ppf.gsi.gov.uk
020 8633 5941

Address for all correspondence:

The Pension Protection Fund

Restructuring & Insolvency Team
Renaissance
12 Dingwall Road
Croydon, CR0 2NA

Please note this leaflet seeks to assist stakeholders and insolvency professionals on our approach to restructuring and insolvency cases. It is an accompaniment to existing publications from the PPF published on our website, not a substitute. We encourage restructuring & insolvency practitioners and trustees to seek appropriate, specific case guidance.

See www.ppf.co.uk for further information.
