

## INSOLVENCY RISK GUIDANCE 2025/26

1. Introduction .....	1
2. Terminology .....	1
3. Overview of the Insolvency Risk assessment.....	1
4. Employers who are not scored through the D&B model.....	2
5. Gathering financial information.....	5
6. Allocation to a scorecard .....	6
7. Applying the financial information to the variables .....	14
8. Calculation of Monthly Scores and Adjusted Monthly Scores .....	14
9. Calculation Principles .....	15
10. Levy Rate Table.....	15
11. Application of Levy Rate .....	16
12. Special insolvency risk calculations for special situations .....	17

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1. The Board of the Pension Protection Fund (the “**Board**”) publishes an annual determination of levy rules (the “**Determination**” and the “**Levy Rules**”) under section 175(5) of the Pensions Act 2004. The Levy Rules include provisions as to how to calculate the insolvency risk (“**IR**”) of an employer or guarantor, in Part E of the Levy Rules and in the Insolvency Risk Appendix. These rules set out how D&B, the Board’s insolvency risk provider, produces scores in line with the PPF-specific model.
- 1.2. This Guidance is on a high-level basis, and seeks to assist Schemes and their advisors in gaining a broad understanding of the provisions for calculating the IR.
- 1.3. This guidance should be read alongside the 2025/26 Determination and the Appendices, and other relevant guidance, all of which are available through the pension protection levy section of the Pension Protection Fund’s website at <https://www.ppf.co.uk> save for the certificates, which are available via Exchange on The Pensions Regulator’s website <https://www.thepensionsregulator.gov.uk>.
- 1.4. In the event that there is a discrepancy between this Guidance and the Determination / Appendices, the Determination and Appendices will prevail.

## 2. Terminology

- 2.1. Unless otherwise defined in this Guidance, terms and expressions shall have the same meaning as those defined in the Determination / Appendices.
- 2.2. In this Guidance, references to employers include references to guarantors unless the context reflects otherwise.

## 3. Overview of the Insolvency Risk assessment

- 3.1. The Levy Rules set out the formula for calculating a Risk-Based Levy in Rules C2 - C3. IR is one element of that formula.
- 3.2. Broadly, IR is calculated by:
  - 3.2.1. Gathering financial information about an employer (and if information is submitted that satisfies the test for Employers who cannot be assessed by financial means, skip to step 3.2.8 below).
  - 3.2.2. Using that information to allocate an employer to a scorecard (for employers who are CRA Rated as explained in paragraph 4 below, skip to step 3.2.4 below).

- 3.2.3. Applying elements of the financial information against a list of variables contained within the scorecards to calculate a value for each variable, which is multiplied by a factor to produce an 'Adjusted Variable Value'.
- 3.2.4. Combining the Adjusted Variable Values and applying certain further mathematical adjustments at the end of each month to create a score or, for CRA Rated employers, using the relevant rating or letter grade score at the end of each month to create a score.
- 3.2.5. Averaging the 12 monthly scores to create a single score.
- 3.2.6. Applying that single score against the levy bands specified in the Levy Rules, to ascertain which Levy Band the employer belongs in.
- 3.2.7. The Levy Rate for that Levy Band is then the IR for that employer.
- 3.2.8. If the employer is one who meets the test as being unable to be assessed by financial means, assign the Levy Rate applicable to Levy Band 1.

#### 4. Employers who are not scored through the D&B model

##### 4.1. Employers with CRA Ratings

- 4.1.1. Employers that meet the criteria for CRA Rated Employers under **Rule E3.1(9)** are assigned a CRA Rating which is assessed by reference to the S&P Rating and Fitch Rating for that Employer.
- 4.1.2. Where the Employer has been assigned one rating that will be the rating for that Employer. Where the Employer has been assigned more than one rating the CRA Rating for that Employer will be the second most favourable rating.

##### 4.2. Special Category Employers

- 4.2.1. From the 2018/19 levy year onwards, the Rules included a new category of "Special Category Employers". This category is for employers for whom the D&B model may not provide the most appropriately risk-reflective rating because the Employer's nature and constitution means that their risk is not most appropriately assessed by financial information (and some may not produce financial information at all).
- 4.2.2. Employers who meet the requirements for this category will be assigned the Levy Rate that corresponds to Levy Band 1. This will, in most cases, be a "one-off"

certification, and the Board's expectation is that this will be subject to the Employer notifying the Board of any subsequent material change to their status that may affect their Special Category Employer categorisation.

4.2.3. Levy **Rule E3.1(11)** sets out the tests that need to be satisfied to come within this category.

4.2.4. Employers who believe they could come within Levy Rule E3.1(11)(a) can apply using a self-certification form in which an officer of the company sets out, with supporting documentation where appropriate:

(a) The grounds for satisfying the objective parts of the test (Levy Rule E3.1(11)(a)). In regard to "established by legislation or under international treaty" we would expect a reference to the relevant legislation under which the Employer was established. In regard to "the Crown, or is an entity classified as Central Government or a foreign government (or an entity that has a similar relationship to a foreign government as a Central Government entity) or is an entity classified as a Public Financial Corporation or a Public Non-financial Corporation" we would expect to see either an explanation of the part of the Crown that the Employer belongs to, a reference to the ONS classification or if part of a foreign government an explanation of their relationship to the government (or State in a federal system). This confirmation should be expressed within the self-certification form.

(b) The officer's view as to why the Board should find that the subjective parts of the test in Levy Rules E3.1(11)(a)(iii) and (iv) are satisfied. Although these parts of the tests are for the Board to consider, it would be helpful for the applicant's officer to set out the reasons why they think the nature of their organisation means that the D&B methodology does not capture the risk of insolvency and why it is very unlikely that the PPF will have to assume responsibility for the scheme in the foreseeable future. This might be because their organisation does not produce financial accounts, or because there is some feature of their accounts that does not reflect their financial strength or support. Examples of helpful information we have seen include where an employer can show evidence of government support (and commitment to long-term future support - for example, contractual indemnities from government for pension costs) or revenue raising powers.

4.2.5. The self-certification form can be obtained on the PPF website [www.ppf.co.uk](http://www.ppf.co.uk), in the Levy payers section. Completed self-certification forms together with any supporting evidence must be received by the Board via email by the Measurement Time but early application is strongly encouraged so that the Board has time to make further enquiries if necessary. The email address to which the certificate and any supporting documentation should be sent to is [information@ppf.co.uk](mailto:information@ppf.co.uk).

- 4.2.6. For foreign governments, when we come to the parts of the tests for the Board to consider, one of the issues we are likely to consider will be the financial strength of the government. We will look at their credit rating. The general convention is that an entity cannot have a rating better than its government, and that they are typically rated a minimum of one notch lower. A- (Standard & Poor's) is the lowest rating we map to Band 1 for entities in a country so we would expect the country to be A rated i.e., one notch higher.
- 4.2.7. In 2025/26, we broadened the scope of employers that can apply for Special Category Employer categorisation, to include Public Financial Corporations and Public Non-Financial Corporations (as classified by ONS), under their public sector classification process: <https://www.ons.gov.uk/methodology/classificationsandstandards/economicstatisticsclassifications/ukeconomicstatisticssectorandtransactionclassificationstheclassificationprocess>. **However, please note we do not expect all such Public Corporations will be in a position to successfully apply for Special Category Employer status** – because we expect that many will not be in a position to satisfy the other requirements of Levy Rule E3.1(11). In particular:
- (a) many of these Public Corporation employers can be appropriately scored using our standard PPF insolvency model (the D&B model); and
  - (b) many of schemes sponsored by the Public Corporation employers may not have a very low likelihood of entering the PPF.
- 4.2.8. Employers who have been granted Special Category Employer status are expected to inform the Board immediately if there have been any material changes that would affect the Special Category Employer status. Such Employers will have been informed of this in the letter which granted the Special Category Employer status.
- 4.2.8 We would also like to explain the significance of the Special Category Employers rule in the context of the parental strength variable in the Insolvency Risk Appendix. The rule for Special Category Employers only applies to entities themselves in their own right and not to any of their subsidiaries or associated companies. However, entities that fail the tests to be a Special Category Employer may be able to get an indirect benefit if their ultimate parent company is a quasi-governmental entity. This is because there is a variable relating to parent strength in the Insolvency Risk Appendix for those employers that are assigned to a Group Scorecard. This variable is calculated by generating an Adjusted Monthly Score for the Ultimate Parent which is converted into a 1-100 Score. That 1-100 Score is the variable value for the parental strength of the employer. Those employers whose Ultimate Parents pass the “Quasi-governmental” test would be allocated the strongest Score (i.e., 0 for the Group Small parent contribution and

100 for the other group scorecards) for this variable. This will reduce the levy. We may therefore receive applications from Ultimate Parents as well as from employers.

4.2.9 In 2024/25, we updated our approach to state aid/subsidy control and Special Category Employer status following the introduction of the Subsidy Control Act 2022 in the UK.

(a) The Board considers that the advantage derived from the levy is not a “subsidy” for the purposes of the UK’s subsidy control regime (under the Subsidy Control Act 2022) or “state aid” in respect of the Northern Ireland Protocol, because that advantage is not “specific”. This is because Special Category Employer status does not involve more favourable treatment of enterprises in a comparable situation, with reference to the risk of making a claim on the Board (in particular, it is also possible to be in Band 1 through the assessment of Employer financials).

(b) Consequently, from the 2024/25 Levy Year onwards the Levy Rules and self-certification no longer contain reference to either (i) Employer confirmation of Special Category Employer status in relation to subsidy and state aid, or (ii) the de minimis (or minimal financial assistance).

(c) As such, for the purposes of Special Category Employer application and self-certification, we no longer routinely require Employers to supply us with supporting evidence in regard to state aid/subsidy control. We also do not require updates in regard to de minimis thresholds.

## 5. Gathering financial information

5.1. The Board has instructed D&B to automatically collect certain financial data in order to assess the insolvency risk of scheme employers. The source of information depends on the nature of the employer.

5.2. For companies that file at Companies House, the main source will be information filed by the companies themselves.

5.3. For employers that do not file at Companies House, the Board has directed D & B to collect from either the Charity Commission or an Other Permitted Source (as defined in the Determination). In addition to Companies House and the Charity Commission, D&B will be using data obtained from the following Other Permitted Sources:

5.3.1. UK Research and Innovation

5.3.2. Office for Students;

5.3.3. The Certification Officer appointed pursuant to the Trade Union and

Labour Relations (Consolidation) Act 1992;

5.3.4. The Financial Conduct Authority;

5.3.5. Homes England; and

5.3.6. Regulator of Social Housing.

D&B will carry out an annual collection exercise for these four sources, in January, for accounts filed by 31 December, with scores using the new accounts from February. Scheme can also file accounts voluntarily (see paragraph 5.5 below) – however, note that, in order to ensure they are taken into account they must be sent to D&B at least one month before the relevant Score Measurement Date that is to be updated (with the exception of scores for the month of April 2024 which will be calculated using all data that has been sent to D&B by 30 April 2024).

5.4. The Board can also direct D&B to collate from other sources if the Board directs that it is appropriate. Further information about the automatic collection of data is available in Rule E2.2.

5.5. In some circumstances (for example where there is accounts information for an employer that that employer is not required to file), employers have opportunities to provide financial information to D&B voluntarily. Further information is available in Rule E2.3. Rule E 2.5 sets out which data takes precedence, where D&B has conflicting data items in respect of the same employer.

5.6. In relation to each Employer categorised as CRA Rated under Rule E3.1(9) the Board will collect public credit rating data for that Employer from the Credit Rating Agencies and will apply that data to allocate a Credit Rating to that Employer as provided for in more detail in Rule E2.4(1) and will supply the Credit Ratings to D&B for use in the calculation of the Employer's Monthly Score as provided for in Rule E2.4(2).

## 6. Allocation to a scorecard

6.1. Rule E3.1 of the Determination explains how the particular Scorecard used to calculate the Monthly Score and Adjusted Monthly Score of a scheme's employer will be based on the employer's Scorecard allocation. Further details of how this categorisation works are then set out in Part 1 of the Insolvency Risk Appendix. For example, to be assigned to a Group category: (i) the employer must be or must have a Parent; and (ii) D & B must have seen either Consolidated Accounts for the employer's Ultimate Parent or the Latest Accounts of the employer and those of at least one other member of the Group.

6.2. A scheme's employer will fall into one of the categories set out in Rule E3.1 and summarised in the following table:

Category	Applicable Scorecard	Description
<p>(1) Non - Subsidiaries £30m+ and Large Subsidiaries</p>	<p>Scorecard 1</p>	<p><b>Scorecard 8 - 11 criteria are not met, and it meets the criteria in one or more of (i), (ii) or (iii) below.</b></p> <p>(i) it is not part of a Group or if it is an Ultimate Parent; and its Latest Accounts are Full Accounts which show a Turnover of £30 million or more;</p> <p>(ii) its Accounts are Full Accounts which show total Assets of £500 million or more and a Turnover of £50 million or more; or</p> <p>(iii) its Latest Accounts have not been Filed with Companies House in the UK, its address is not a UK address and, by 31 March 2025 D&amp;B has not, pursuant to Rule E2.3, received data which is sufficient to establish that, as at the Score Measurement Date in question, the Employer did not meet the criteria in (i) or (ii) above and did meet the criteria in one of scorecards 3, 4, 5, 6 or 7 below (on the basis that the reference in the paragraphs of those scorecards to this paragraph (1) were disregarded) and its Latest Accounts show a Turnover of above £30 million.</p>



Category	Applicable Scorecard	Description
(2) Non - Subsidiaries <£30m	Scorecard 2	<p><b>Meets all of the following criteria at the relevant Score Measurement Date:</b></p> <p>(a) It does not meet the scorecard 1 or 8 - 11 criteria;</p> <p>(b) It meets the criteria in one or more of:</p> <p>(i) It is not part of a Group, and its Latest Accounts are Full Accounts;</p> <p>(ii) It is an Ultimate Parent, and its Latest Accounts are Full Accounts; or</p> <p>(iii) Its Latest Accounts have not been Filed with Companies House in the UK, its address is not a UK address and, by 31 March 2025 D&amp;B has not, pursuant to Rule E2.3, received data, which is sufficient to establish that, as at the Score Measurement Date in question, the Employer did not meet the criteria in (i) or (ii) above and did meet the criteria in one of scorecards 3 - 7 below (on the basis that the reference in the paragraphs of those scorecards to this paragraph (2) were disregarded);</p> <p><b>AND</b></p> <p>(c) Its Latest Accounts show a Turnover of less than or equal to £30 million.</p>

Category	Applicable Scorecard	Description
(3) Group £50m+	Scorecard 3	<p><b>All three apply.</b></p> <p>Employer:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. does <u>not</u> meet scorecard 1, 2 or 8 – 11 criteria; <b>AND</b></li> <li>2. is part of a Group;</li> </ol> <p><b>AND</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. its Latest Accounts are Full Accounts with £50M plus turnover.</li> </ol>
(4) Group £10m to £50m	Scorecard 4	<p><b>All three apply.</b></p> <p>Employer:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. does <u>not</u> meet scorecard 1, 2 or 8-11 criteria;</li> <li>2. is part of a Group;</li> </ol> <p><b>AND</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. Its Latest Accounts are Full Accounts with a turnover of £10M plus but less than £50M.</li> </ol>
(5) Group <£10m	Scorecard 5	<p><b>All three apply.</b></p> <p>Employer:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. does <u>not</u> meet scorecard 1, 2 or 8 – 11 criteria;</li> <li>2. is part of a Group;</li> </ol> <p><b>AND</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. Its Latest Accounts are Full Accounts with sub-£10M turnover.</li> </ol>
(6) Group Small	Scorecard 6	<p><b>All three apply.</b></p> <p>Employer:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. does <u>not</u> meet scorecard 1 or 8 criteria;</li> <li>2. is part of a Group but is <u>not</u> the Ultimate Parent;</li> </ol> <p><b>AND</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3. Its Latest Accounts are Small Accounts.</li> </ol>

Category	Applicable Scorecard	Description
(7) Independent Small	Scorecard 7	<p><b>All three apply.</b></p> <p>Employer:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. does <u>not</u> meet scorecard 1, 2 or 8 – 11 criteria;</li> <li>2. is <u>not</u> part of a Group <u>or</u> is an Ultimate Parent; <b>AND</b></li> <li>3. Its Latest Accounts are Small Accounts.</li> </ol>
(8) Not-For-Profit	Scorecard 8	<p><b>Has Filed Accounts and does not meet the criteria in scorecards 9 – 11 and 1 or 2 below applies.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Broadly, Employer is one of the bodies listed in Rule E3.1(8)(a), e.g., a Charity.</li> <li>2. Broadly, Employer provides satisfactory evidence that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-it operates a not-for-profit trade;</li> <li>-no dividends or capital returns are permitted; and</li> <li>-on winding up, all distributable assets would be transferred to an equivalent body.</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
(9) CRA Rated	Scorecard 9	<p><b>Meets all of the following criteria at the Score Measurement Date:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) It does not meet the criteria in 11; <b>AND</b></li> <li>(b) It is CRA Rated.</li> </ol>
(10) No longer used	N/A	N/A

<b>Category</b>	<b>Applicable Scorecard</b>	<b>Description</b>
(11) Special Category Employers	N/A	See the Levy Rules for the specific test, which is likely to apply in a small number of cases only.
Non-Filing	N/A	In general, this approach applies if the data available to D&B is insufficient to enable categorisation of the Employer within Rule E3.1 and none of scorecards 1 – 11 above applies to it at the relevant Score Measurement Date.

- 6.3. The scorecards themselves are set out in Part 2 of the Insolvency Risk Appendix. These set out the relevant variables and intercept to be taken into account when calculating a Monthly Score for that employer.
- 6.4. If an employer is categorised as Not-For-Profit, Scorecard 8 (Not-For-Profit) will be used regardless of the type of accounts, if any, filed by that employer and regardless of any financial data contained in them<sup>1</sup>.

## 7. Applying the financial information to the variables

- 7.1. Once the scorecard for an employer has been established, Part 3 of the Insolvency Risk Appendix sets out the methodology by which the Variable Values, within the scorecards, are calculated. In particular, it defines many of the terms and expressions used in the scorecards. For example, it includes detailed provisions to explain the methodology by which the value of a parent strength variable is determined.

## 8. Calculation of Monthly Scores and Adjusted Monthly Scores

### Monthly Score Methodology

- 8.1. Once data has been applied to the relevant Scorecard, the Monthly Score is calculated in accordance with Rule E4 and Parts 2, 3 and 4 of the Insolvency Risk Appendix (and any adjustments set out in Part 5 of that Appendix).
- 8.2. For scorecards 1 – 8, a Monthly Score is calculated by applying the following process:
  - 8.2.1. For each Variable within the Scorecard, the Variable Value is multiplied by a Coefficient to produce an Adjusted Variable Value;
  - 8.2.2. the Adjusted Variable Values are summed together with the Intercept which appears in the relevant Scorecard;
  - 8.2.3. a formula is applied to transform the sum of the Adjusted Variable Values and the Intercept; and
  - 8.2.4. the value obtained is multiplied by the Adjustment Multiplier which applies to the relevant Scorecard.

The result of this calculation generates the Monthly Score.

- 8.2.5. Where an employer has not filed, however, no Monthly Score or

---

<sup>1</sup> See paragraph 1.1, Part 1 of the Appendix

Adjusted Monthly Score will be calculated.<sup>2</sup>

- 8.2.6. In the case of multiple scheme employers, D&B will calculate an average Monthly Score, in accordance with Rules E1.2 and E6 of the Determination.
- 8.2.7. Further information about the Monthly Score Methodology is contained within Part 4 of the Insolvency Risk Appendix.
- 8.2.8. Special provisions apply where an employer has filed Dormant Accounts and then subsequently, as a result of a substantive change of circumstances, filed accounts that are not Dormant Accounts.

## Adjustments

- 8.3. Part 5 of the Appendix allows for certain adjustments. Specified adjustments will be applied to any Monthly Scores calculated by D&B so as to produce an Adjusted Monthly Score, provided that the data collected or received by D&B under Rule E2 is sufficient to allow it to independently verify that these adjustments should be made.
- 8.4. For example, where any employer (or Ultimate Parent, as the case may be) has already suffered an insolvency event within Section 121 of the Pensions Act 2004 (or its equivalent in a non-UK jurisdiction) as at the Measurement Time<sup>3</sup> it will be assigned an insolvency probability of 100% so it will be in Levy Band 10.

## 9. Calculation Principles

- 9.1. Part 6 of the Appendix sets out various calculation principles. For example, in relation to rounding figures; the treatment of employers or entities which have not been associated with a scheme for a full Levy Year; and the treatment of median and mean averages where such figures fall between multiple Levy Bands.

## 10. Levy Rate Table

Levy Bands are allocated by reference to Minimum and Maximum Mean Scores. For each Levy Band there is a corresponding Levy Rate. This is demonstrated by the following table:<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> See Rule E4.2 of the Determination

<sup>3</sup> See paragraph 5.1, Part 5 of the Appendix

<sup>4</sup> See Part 7 of the Insolvency Risk Appendix

Minimum Mean Score	Maximum Mean Score	Levy Band	Levy Rate (LR)
0.00%	<0.030%	1	0.28%
0.030%	<0.049%	2	0.30%
0.049%	<0.086%	3	0.31%
0.086%	<0.143%	4	0.34%
0.143%	<0.243%	5	0.39%
0.243%	<0.488%	6	0.49%
0.488%	<1.049%	7	0.63%
1.049%	<1.595%	8	0.76%
1.595%	<2.986%	9	0.89%
2.986%	100.00%	10	1.16%

## 11. Application of Levy Rate

- 11.1. Where there is a single employer, the Levy Rate is equal to the “Insolvency Risk” or “IR” used to calculate the risk-based levy for a scheme. This is explained in Rules C2 and E1.1 of the Determination.
- 11.2. Where a multi-employer scheme is concerned, the Levy Rate of each employer is calculated (as described above) and then a weighted average Levy Rate is calculated for all of the employers collectively. This average is required in order to work out the “Insolvency Risk” or “IR” for such a scheme, so as to calculate the risk-based levy. This is explained in Rules E1.2 and E6 of the Determination.
- 11.3. Different rules then apply to a “New Scheme” or a “No Return Scheme,” in accordance with Rule E1.3 of the Determination. The application of such rules differs depending on whether or not the relevant employer existed at 31 March 2025.

## 12. Special insolvency risk calculations for special situations

- 12.1. For schemes meeting the definition of an Alternative Covenant Scheme, special rules will apply. These rules are likely only to apply in unusual cases rather than forming part of the conventional insolvency risk calculation.